

# Endodontic treatment procedures applied by endodontists and general dentists in Türkiye: a questionnaire survey

✉Güliz Rana Tellioglu Avcı<sup>1</sup>, ✉Hafize Kılıç Gülmez<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Batman University, Batman, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Department of Endodontics, Diyarbakır Oral and Dental Health Center, Diyarbakır, Türkiye

**Cite this article as:** Tellioglu Avcı GR, Kılıç Gülmez H. Endodontic treatment procedures applied by endodontists and general dentists in Türkiye: a questionnaire survey. *J Dent Sci Educ.* 2025;3(1):8-15.

Received: 20.12.2024

Accepted: 25.02.2025

Published: 28.02.2025

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The aim of this study is to determine the use of rubber dams, magnification techniques, working length measurement methods, and preferences for multiple sessions among endodontists and general dental practitioners performing root canal treatment in Türkiye, as well as to evaluate disinfection and obturation protocols.

**Methods:** In this study, 167 dentists working in Türkiye were included, and they were asked to respond to a questionnaire consisting of 17 questions covering gender, the institution they work at, specialty, the years they have been practicing, and information related to the stages of root canal treatment. The data obtained from this study were analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, and Pearson chi-square test, based on the number of observations in the tables.

**Results:** Of the participants in the study, 53.89% reported attending any seminar/course related to endodontics after graduation. Additionally, 43.71% of the participants perform more than 30 root canal treatments per month. Notably, 91.02% of the participants typically perform root canal treatments on molar teeth. However, 77.25% of the participants do not use rubber dams during treatment, and 91.02% do not utilize magnification during root canal procedures. There is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the frequency of performing root canal treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). Among the endodontists, 85.71% perform more than 30 root canal treatments per month, while 37.67% of general dental practitioners do the same; conversely, 18.49% of general dentists perform between 0-10 root canal treatments per month. Furthermore, there is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the use of rubber dams during root canal treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 38.1% of endodontists and 82.88% of general dental practitioners do not use rubber dams during treatment, 14.29% of endodontists and 2.05% of general dentists always use rubber dams. Lastly, there is also a statistically significant relationship between titles and the utilization of magnification during treatment ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 76.19% of endodontists and 93.15% of general dental practitioners do not utilize magnification during treatment, 0.68% of general dentists occasionally use loupes or smartphone cameras for assistance.

**Conclusion:** As a result of this study, it was seen that general dentists have sufficient knowledge about root canal protocols and materials. It was also seen that endodontists have relatively more knowledge on this subject than general dentists.

**Keywords:** Endodontist, root canal treatment, general dental practitioners, education, dentistry

## INTRODUCTION

Root canal treatment is a treatment method applied to eliminate pathogens in the canals and improve existing pathologies in order to prevent early tooth loss.<sup>1</sup> Although the success rate of root canal treatment is high, the application of the treatment requires a certain level of knowledge, necessary materials and time.<sup>2</sup>

Rubber dam, which has been used for 150 years for isolating the tooth during root canal treatment, is still considered the most ideal method for isolation.<sup>3</sup> According to Silversin et al.,<sup>4</sup> all endodontic applications should be performed under rubber dam isolation and rubber dam application should be accepted as the gold standard. In addition to providing adequate oropharyngeal protection, rubber dam isolation

should be used for infection control and the success of root canal treatment.<sup>5</sup>

Determination of working length is one of the most critical steps in root canal treatment and a clear understanding of the morphology of the root canal system including the root apex is essential. Apical constriction has been proposed as an ideal apical termination for root canal preparation. It is the part of the canal with the smallest diameter and any violation of this area is not recommended for long-term, successful results.<sup>6,7</sup> Determination of working length is done by different methods. These methods include finger sensitivity, electronic apex locator (EAL), radiographic method and moisture in paper cones, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT).<sup>8</sup>

**Corresponding Author:** Güliz Rana Tellioglu Avcı, grtellioglu@hotmail.com





Endodontics is limited to a narrow field of study because it deals with very small anatomies. Over the years, many magnifying devices have been introduced as tools that bridge the gap between the naked eye and the microscope. Today, tools such as endoscopes, magnifying glasses (loupes) and intraoral cameras have largely been replaced by more practical and convenient devices for practice such as magnifying glasses (loupes) and operating microscopes.<sup>9,10</sup>

Technological and methodological changes aimed at improving endodontic treatment outcomes can be categorized into two main areas. One area of technological advancement has been root canal preparation itself, accompanied by the advancement of appropriate instrumentation, from stainless steel hand files<sup>11</sup> to rotary nickel-titanium multi-file systems<sup>12</sup> and alternative machine-driven single-file systems.<sup>13</sup>

Since microorganisms are difficult to eradicate from infected root canals, a number of methods have been used to reduce their numbers, including various instrumentation techniques, irrigation regimens, and intracanal medicaments.<sup>14,15</sup>

Since stainless steel hand files have been the preferred method for many years, there are data on the long-term results of endodontic treatment.<sup>16,17</sup> After NiTi alloys, the popularity of reciprocating motion systems has increased. This development indicates that the instruments require five rotations to complete a full 360° rotation. At the same time, the elastic limit of the instrument is not exceeded due to this movement.<sup>18</sup> Studies suggest the use of NiTi alloys with endodontic motors by taking advantage of their mechanical properties such as superelasticity and shape memory.<sup>19-21</sup>

Some of the irrigation solutions used in root canal treatment are: sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), chlorhexidine (CHX), distilled water, physiological serum, ozonated water, citric acid.<sup>22</sup>

Another area of progress is characterized by the establishment of effective intracanal disinfection protocols, from syringe irrigation with NaOCl to passive ultrasonic irrigation (PUI).<sup>23</sup> Traditional syringe irrigation is a widely accepted technique. Traditional syringe irrigation technique may fail to effectively deliver and distribute irrigants in the root canal system, especially in the apical third and isthmus regions.<sup>24</sup> Activation in root canal irrigation is the process of using mechanical, physical or other forms of energy to increase the effectiveness of irrigants within the root canal system. Currently, there are automated systems and manual methods for irrigation activation. Among the different activation methods, manual dynamic activation (MDA), PUI and sonic irrigation (SI) are some of the most widely used and studied methods.<sup>25</sup> The null hypothesis was that there is no difference in the path followed by endodontists and general dentists in root canal treatment. The aim of this study was to determine the rubber dam, magnification use, working length detection method and multi-session preference of endodontists and general dental practitioners performing root canal treatment in Turkiye, and also to evaluate disinfection and obturation protocols.

## METHODS

Ethics committee approval was given by the Dicle University Faculty of Dentistry Ethics Committee (Date: 29.01.2020, Decision No: 2020-2). All procedures were carried out in

accordance with the ethical rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The survey questions were prepared on an internet platform (Google forms) that provides survey services. The link that provides access to the survey form was shared only on various social platforms where dentists are present between March 2023 and April 2023. The responses of 167 people who completed all the questions of the survey were included in the study. Incomplete surveys were not included in the study. A total of 167 people, 21 endodontists and 146 general dental practitioners working in Turkiye, participated in this study. Participation in the survey is voluntary. **Table 1** shows the survey questions presented to participants.

## Statistical Analysis

The data obtained in this study were analyzed with SPSS software version 21 (IBM SPSS Inc., Armonk, NY, USA) package program. Descriptive statistics are stated in the form of frequency and percentage [n (%)]. Chi-square analysis was applied to nominal variables, Fisher's exact test was applied to 2x2 tables and Pearson chi-square analysis was applied to RxC tables with the help of Monte Carlo simulation. Since 20% of the expected value in the cells was less than 5, chi-square analysis was performed with the help of Monte Carlo simulation. The significance level was determined as  $p < 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

167 dentists who participated in our survey answered the questions. Of the 167 people, 21 (12.57%) were endodontists and 146 (87.43%) were general dental practitioners. 47.9% of the participating dentists worked in private clinics, 34.13% in ODHC, and 17.96% in university hospitals. In the study, 51.5% had worked for 0-5 years, 20.96% for 6-10 years, 11.38% for 11-15 years, and 16.17% for over 16 years, and 53.89% of these dentists had attended any seminar/course related to endodontics after graduation (**Table 2**).

43.71% of the participants reported that they performed more than 30 root canal treatments per month and 91.02% of the dentists usually performed root canal treatments on molar group teeth. 77.25% of the participants reported that they did not use rubber dams during treatment, 91.02% did not use magnification during treatment, 56.89% did not use gel-type lubricants during treatment, and 74.25% did not use activation techniques during irrigation. Of those who used activation techniques during irrigation, 41.86% reported that they used PUI activation techniques during irrigation.

62.28% of the participants reported using the radiography+EAL technique to determine working length, 88.02% reported using NiTi rotary files in routine root canal treatment, 95.81% used NaOCl/diluted NaOCl as an irrigation solution, 52.1% employed the lateral condensation filling technique, 43.11% used epoxy resin-based canal filling, and 82.63% preferred composite filling restoration after endodontic treatment. Additionally, 52.69% of dentists stated they completed root canal treatment in a single session, while 47.31% completed it in multiple sessions. Among those who completed root canal treatment in multiple sessions, 97.44% indicated they used CaOH as an intra-canal medicament (**Table 3**).

There is a statistically significant relationship between titles (endodontist and general dental practitioners) and the institutions they work in ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 23.81% of endodontists and 51.37% of general dental practitioners



Table 1. Survey questions	
<b>In which type of institution do you work?</b>	
Private clinic	
Oral and dental health center	
University hospital	
<b>What is your title?</b>	
Endodontists	
General dental practitioners	
<b>How many years have you been working as a dentist?</b>	
1-5 years	
6-10 years	
11-15 years	
16+ years	
<b>Have you attended any seminars/courses related to endodontics after graduation?</b>	
Yes	
No	
<b>How often do you perform endodontic treatment?</b>	
1-10 per month	
11-20 per month	
21-30 per month	
31 or more per month	
<b>Which group of teeth do you mostly treat?</b>	
Incisors	
Premolar teeth	
Molar teeth	
<b>Do you use rubber dam when performing root canal treatment?</b>	
Always	
Most of the time	
Sometimes	
I don't use it	
<b>Do you use magnification when performing root canal treatment?</b>	
No	
Loupe	
Dental microscope	
Other....	
<b>How do you determine the working length in root canal treatment?</b>	
With radiography	
With an electronic apex locator	
With radiography and an electronic apex locator	
<b>What files do you use in routine root canal treatment?</b>	
K type file	
H type file	
Ni Ti hand files	
Ni Ti rotary files	
<b>Which of the following do you use as an irrigation agent while performing root canal treatment?</b>	
NaOCl	
EDTA	
Chlorhexidine	
Other....	
<b>Which activation technique do you use during irrigation?</b>	
I do not use	
Endoactivator	
Passive ultrasonic irrigation	
Other...	
<b>How many sessions do you complete for root canal treatment?</b>	
Single session	
Multiple sessions	
If you perform multiple-session root canal treatment, which intra-canal medicament do you use between sessions?	
CaOH	
Two-component antibiotic paste	
Three-component antibiotic paste	
Other...	
<b>Which filling technique do you use?</b>	
Single cone technique	
Lateral condensation	
Hot vertical condensation	
Hot lateral condensation	
Continuous heat obturation	
<b>Which restoration do you prefer after endodontic treatment?</b>	
Composite filling	
Amalgam filling	
Crown	
<b>Which canal filling material do you use?</b>	
Epoxy resin-based canal filling	
Bioceramic-based canal filling	
Calcium hydroxide-containing canal filling	
Zinc oxide eugenol-containing canal filling	

EDTA: Etilendiamine tetraacetatid

Table 2. Frequency distribution table			
		n	%
<b>In which type of institution do you work?</b>	Private clinic	80	47.9
	Oral and dental health center	57	34.13
	University hospital	30	17.96
	Total	167	100
<b>What is your title?</b>	Endodontist	21	12.57
	General dental practitioners	146	87.43
	Total	167	100
<b>How many years have you been working as a dentist?</b>	0-5	86	51.5
	6-10	35	20.96
	11-15	19	11.38
	16<	27	16.17
	Total	167	100
<b>Have you attended any seminars/courses related to endodontics after graduation?</b>	Yes	90	53.89
	No	77	46.11
	Total	167	100
	0-10	27	16.17
	11-20	39	23.35
<b>How often do you perform endodontic treatment? (per month)</b>	21-30	28	16.77
	30<	73	43.71
	Total	167	100
	Incisors	69	41.32
	Premolar teeth	81	48.50
<b>Which group of teeth do you mostly treat?*</b>	Molar teeth	152	91.02
	Always	6	3.59
	Most of the time	7	4.19
<b>Do you use rubber dam when performing root canal treatment?</b>	Sometimes	25	14.97
	I don't use it	129	77.25
	Total	167	100
<b>Do you use magnification when performing root canal treatment?</b>	No	152	91.02
	Loupe	12	7.19
	Dental microscope	2	1.2
<b>Do you use magnification when performing root canal treatment?</b>	Sometimes a magnifying glass, a phone camera	1	0.6
	Total	167	100
	With radiography	35	20.96
<b>How do you determine the working length in root canal treatment?</b>	With an electronic apex locator	28	16.77
	With radiography and an electronic apex locator	104	62.28
	Total	167	100
<b>What files do you use in routine root canal treatment?*</b>	K type file	104	62.28
	H type file	75	44.91
	Ni Ti hand files	32	19.16
	Ni Ti rotary files	147	88.02
<b>Do you use gel type lubricant during the procedure?</b>	Yes	72	43.11
	No	95	56.89
	Total	167	100
	NaOCl	160	95.81
<b>Which of the following do you use as an irrigation agent while performing root canal treatment?*</b>	EDTA	109	65.27
	CHX	91	54.49
	Distilled water	12	7.19
	Physiological saline	20	11.98
	Oseptin/octenidine	3	1.80
	Ethyl alcohol	1	0.60
<b>Do you use activation techniques during the irrigation process?</b>	Hydrogen peroxide/H2O2	3	1.80
	Yes	43	25.75
	No	124	74.25
	Total	167	100
<b>Which activation technique do you use during irrigation?</b>	Endoactivator	11	25.58
	Irrigating with a syringe	1	2.33
	Gutta percha activation	9	20.93
	Lentulo	1	2.33
	Manual dynamic activation	2	4.65
	Heated NaOCl	1	2.33
<b>How many sessions do you complete for root canal treatment?</b>	Passive ultrasonic irrigation	18	41.86
	Total	43	100
	Single session	88	52.69
<b>If you perform multiple-session root canal treatment, which intra-canal medicament do you use between sessions?</b>	Multiple session	79	47.31
	Total	167	100
	Two-component antibiotic paste	1	1.28
	Phenol	1	1.28
<b>Which filling technique do you use?</b>	CaOH	76	97.44
	Total	78	100
	Single cone technique	78	46.71
	Lateral condensation	87	52.1
	Hot filling technique	2	1.2
<b>Which restoration do you prefer after endodontic treatment?</b>	Total	167	100
	Amalgam filling	14	8.38
	Composite filling	138	82.63
	Crown	15	8.98
<b>Which canal filling material do you use?</b>	Total	167	100
	Bioceramic-based canal filling	19	11.38
	Zinc oxide eugenol-containing canal filling	12	7.19
	Epoxy resin-based canal filling	72	43.11
	Calcium Hydroxide-containing canal filling	64	38.32

\*Multiple answer options have been selected, EDTA: Etilendiamine tetraacetatid



**Table 3. Chi-square test results regarding the relationship between titles and demographic information**

		Title						Chi-square test	
		Endodontist		General dental practitioners		Total			
		n	%	n	%	n	%	Chi-square	p
In which type of institution do you work?	Private clinic	5	23.81	75	51.37	80	47.9	38.889	0.001
	Oral and dental health center	2	9.52	55	37.67	57	34.13		
	University hospital	14	66.67	16	10.96	30	17.96		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
How many years have you been working as a dentist?	0-5	10	47.62	76	52.05	86	51.5	*	0.567
	6-10	5	23.81	30	20.55	35	20.96		
	11-15	4	19.05	15	10.27	19	11.38		
	16<	2	9.52	25	17.12	27	16.17		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
Have you attended any seminars/courses related to endodontics after graduation?	Yes	13	61.9	77	52.74	90	53.89	0.307	0.58
	No	8	38.1	69	47.26	77	46.11		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		

work in private clinics, 66.67% of endodontists and 10.96% of general dental practitioners work in university hospitals.

There is no statistically significant relationship between titles and years of practice, participation in any seminar/course related to endodontics after graduation, groups of teeth receiving root canal treatment, techniques used to determine working length, files used in routine root canal treatment, irrigation solutions used, use of activation techniques during irrigation, use of gel-type lubricants during treatment, filling techniques employed, canal filling materials used, intra-canal medicaments used between sessions in multiple-session root canal treatments, and preferred restorations after endodontic treatment ( $p > 0.05$ ).

There is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the frequency of performing root canal treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 85.71% of endodontists and 37.67% of general dental practitioners perform more than 30 root canal treatments per month, 18.49% of general dental practitioners perform between 0-10 root canal treatments per month.

Additionally, there is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the use of rubber dam during treatment ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 38.1% of endodontists and 82.88% of general dental practitioners do not use rubber dam during treatment, 14.29% of endodontists and 2.05% of general dental practitioners always use rubber dam during treatment.

There is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the use of magnification during procedures ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 76.19% of endodontists and 93.15% of general dental practitioners do not use magnification during treatment, 0.68% of general dental practitioners sometimes use a magnifying glass or phone camera during treatment.

Additionally, there is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the number of sessions required to complete root canal treatment ( $p < 0.05$ ). While 80.95% of endodontists and 48.63% of general dental practitioners complete root canal treatment in a single session, 19.05% of endodontists and 51.37% of general dental practitioners complete it in multiple sessions (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

Root canal treatment is a proven procedure, but many factors can affect its success. In our country, the number of

endodontic specialists is steadily increasing, and technological advancements are leading to the development of various procedures for the application of root canal treatment.

In the study conducted by Ünal et al.,<sup>26</sup> the average number of root canal treatments performed by participating dentists in a month was examined. The study also indicated that dental practice in our country is predominantly carried out by general dental practitioners, particularly due to the insufficient number of endodontists, resulting in endodontic treatments being performed by dentists working in both the public and private sectors. In the study by Ferreira et al.,<sup>27</sup> it was reported that the number of endodontic treatments per month (82%) of endodontists was more than 11. 43.71% of the participants in our study performed more than 30 root canal treatments per month. There was a statistically significant relationship between the titles (endodontists and general practitioners) and the frequency of performing root canal treatments. While 85.71% of endodontists and 37.67% of general dental practitioners performed more than 30 root canal treatments per month, 18.49% of general dental practitioners performed 0-10 root canal treatments per month. There was a statistically significant relationship between the titles and the institutions they worked at. While 23.81% of endodontists and 51.37% of general dental practitioners worked in private clinics, 66.67% of endodontists and 10.96% of general dental practitioners worked in university hospitals. We believe that the reason for this is the increasing number of new universities and dental faculties in our country.

In a survey study conducted by Dindar et al.,<sup>28</sup> it was found that dentists more frequently treat molar teeth (77.96%) in their routine endodontic practice. The frequency of treating molar teeth among dentists with more than 16 years of professional experience was significantly lower compared to those with 1-5 years and 6-15 years of experience. In our study, 91.02% of the participating dentists reported that they typically perform root canal treatment on molar group teeth. Additionally, there is no statistically significant relationship between titles and years of practice. In a study conducted by Ünlü et al.,<sup>29</sup> it was reported that preventive dentistry has not been fully established in our country and that molar teeth tend to decay within a few years after eruption. We believe that this rate is high because permanent molars are the first teeth to erupt in the mouth and preventive dentistry is not widespread in our country.



**Table 4. Chi-square test results regarding the relationship between titles and other parameters**

		Title						Chi-square test	
		Endodontist		General dental practitioners		Total		Chi-square	p
		n	%	n	%	n	%		
How often do you perform endodontic treatment? (per month)	0-10	0	0	27	18.49	27	16.17	*	0.001
	11-20	0	0	39	26.71	39	23.35		
	21-30	3	14.29	25	17.12	28	16.77		
	30<	18	85.71	55	37.67	73	43.71		
Which group of teeth do you mostly treat?	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100	Fisher's exact	0.221
	Molar teeth	21	100	131	89.73	152	91.02		
	Other	0	0	15	10.27	15	8.98		
Do you use rubber dam when performing root canal treatment?	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100	*	0.001
	Always	3	14.29	3	2.05	6	3.59		
	Most of the time	1	4.76	6	4.11	7	4.19		
	Sometimes	9	42.86	16	10.96	25	14.97		
Do you use magnification when performing root canal treatment?	I don't use it	8	38.1	121	82.88	129	77.25	*	0.006
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	No	16	76.19	136	93.15	152	91.02		
	Loupe	3	14.29	9	6.16	12	7.19		
How do you determine the working length in root canal treatment?	Dental microscope	2	9.52	0	0	2	1.2	*	0.187
	Sometimes a magnifying glass, a phone camera	0	0	1	0.68	1	0.6		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	With radiography	2	9.52	33	22.6	35	20.96		
What files do you use in routine root canal treatment?	With an electronic apex locator	6	28.57	22	15.07	28	16.77	*	0.474
	With radiography and an electronic apex locator	13	61.9	91	62.33	104	62.28		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Ni Ti rotary files	20	95.24	127	86.99	147	88.02		
Do you use gel type lubricant during the procedure?	Other	1	4.76	19	13.01	20	11.98	Fisher's exact	0.229
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Yes	6	28.57	66	45.21	72	43.11		
Which of the following do you use as an irrigation agent while performing root canal treatment?	No	15	71.43	80	54.79	95	56.89	Fisher's exact	0.598
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	NaOCl/diluted NaOCl	21	100	139	95.21	160	95.81		
Do you use activation techniques during the irrigation process?	Other	0	0	7	4.79	7	4.19	Fisher's exact	0.099
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Yes	9	42.86	34	23.29	43	25.75		
Which activation technique do you use during irrigation?	No	12	57.14	112	76.71	124	74.25	Fisher's exact	0.99
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Endoactivator	3	33.33	8	23.53	11	25.58		
	Irrigating with a syringe	0	0	1	2.94	1	2.33		
	Gutta percha activation	2	22.22	7	20.59	9	20.93		
	Lentulo	0	0	1	2.94	1	2.33		
	Manual dynamic activation	0	0	2	5.88	2	4.65		
How many sessions do you complete for root canal treatment?	Heated NaOCl	0	0	1	2.94	1	2.33	Fisher's exact	0.011
	Passive ultrasonic irrigation	4	44.44	14	41.18	18	41.86		
	Total	9	100	34	100	43	100		
If you perform multiple-session root canal treatment, which intra-canal medicament do you use between sessions?	Single session	17	80.95	71	48.63	88	52.69	Fisher's exact	0.99
	Multiple session	4	19.05	75	51.37	79	47.31		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Two-component antibiotic paste	0	0	1	1.35	1	1.28		
Which filling technique do you use?	Phenol	0	0	1	1.35	1	1.28	*	0.135
	CaOH	4	100	72	97.3	76	97.44		
	Total	4	100	74	100	78	100		
	Single cone technique	7	33.33	71	48.63	78	46.71		
Which restoration do you prefer after endodontic treatment?	Lateral condensation	13	61.9	74	50.68	87	52.1	*	0.308
	Hot filling technique	1	4.76	1	0.68	2	1.2		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Amalgam filling	0	0	14	9.59	14	8.38		
Which canal filling material do you use?	Composite filling	18	85.71	120	82.19	138	82.63	*	0.144
	Crown	3	14.29	12	8.22	15	8.98		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		
	Bioceramic-based canal filling	4	19.05	15	10.27	19	11.38		
	Zinc oxide eugenol-containing canal filling	0	0	12	8.22	12	7.19		
Which canal filling material do you use?	Epoxy resin-based canal filling	12	57.14	60	41.1	72	43.11	*	0.144
	Calcium hydroxide-containing canal filling	5	23.81	59	40.41	64	38.32		
	Total	21	100	146	100	167	100		



In a survey study, it was reported that 81.15% of dentists never use rubber dam, 0.64% always use it.<sup>28</sup> Similarly, another study conducted in Denmark found that 14% of dentists reported sometimes using rubber dam.<sup>30</sup> In a study by Ferreira et al.,<sup>27</sup> it was found that 99% of endodontists use rubber dam. In our study, 77.25% of participants reported not using rubber dam during root canal treatment. The low rate of rubber dam usage can be attributed to the difficulty and duration of application, as well as cost. We believe that the low rate of rubber dam usage in our country significantly reduces the prognosis of the endodontic treatments performed.

Wong et al.<sup>31</sup> reported that 67.8% of dentists do not use magnification, while 30.4% use loupes and 6.2% use a microscope. Another study showed that 86.5% of dentists do not use any magnification devices, 13.18% use loupes, and only 1 dentist uses a microscope.<sup>28</sup> In a further study, it was found that 67.38% of endodontists use magnification, with the magnification tools being 23.66% loupes, 35.48% microscopes, and 8.24% using a combination of both.<sup>27</sup> In our study, 91.02% of participants reported that they do not utilize magnification during root canal treatment. While 76.19% of endodontists and 93.15% of general dental practitioners do not use magnification during treatment, 0.68% of general dental practitioners reported that they sometimes use loupes or phone cameras. We believe that the use of magnifying devices should be increased due to the very small area used in root canal treatment and the anatomical differences of the teeth.

In our study, 53.89% of participants reported having attended any seminar/course related to endodontics after graduation. One study showed that the usage rates of loupes significantly increased with the number of hours of endodontic training received. The lowest rate of loupe usage was found among dentists who had not received any training in endodontics. It was observed that the rate of loupe usage increased with the number of endodontic training hours. Among those who reported never using rubber dam, 87.25% belonged to the group that had not received any endodontic training.<sup>28</sup> In a survey conducted by Madarati on dentists' use of rubber dam, it was reported that the usage rate was higher among those who received training (71.4%) compared to those who had not received any training (35.5%), indicating that endodontic training is associated with rubber dam usage.<sup>32</sup> We believe that the reason why there is not much difference between the endodontic treatment procedures of endodontists and general dentists is due to the courses taken.

Pratten stated that the use of EALs for determining working length is more reliable than radiography.<sup>33</sup> Hoer et al.<sup>34</sup> suggested that working length determination should be done using a combination of radiography and EALs. In a study conducted by Ünal et al.<sup>26</sup> in our country, it was shown that 12.8% of dentists use EALs. In a study by Iqbal et al.<sup>35</sup> in Saudi Arabia, 13.5% of dentists reported using this method, while Palmer et al.<sup>36</sup> found that 34.5% of dentists in their study in the UK preferred the combination of radiography and EALs. In our study, 62.28% of participants reported using the combination of radiography and EALs to determine working length. Additionally, there is no statistically significant relationship between titles and the techniques used to determine working length.

In a survey conducted by Meel et al.,<sup>37</sup> the usage rate of NiTi rotary file systems among general dental practitioners was reported to be 75%, while Guelzow et al.<sup>38</sup> found this rate to be 77%. In our study, 88.02% of participants reported using NiTi rotary files in routine root canal treatments. There is no statistically significant relationship between titles and the files used in routine root canal treatments.

Eleazer et al.<sup>39</sup> and Savani et al.<sup>40</sup> reported that 93% of dentists use sodium hypochlorite as an irrigation agent. Another study found that 91.05% of dentists use sodium hypochlorite solution as the primary irrigation agent.<sup>28</sup> In our study, 95.81% of participants use NaOCl/diluted NaOCl as their irrigation solution. However, there is no statistically significant relationship between titles and the irrigation solutions used. We believe that the reason why NaOCl is the most commonly used irrigation solution among endodontists and general dentists is that NaOCl still maintains its validity as the gold standard.

In a study by Savani et al.,<sup>37</sup> it was reported that 81% of dentists do not use any instruments or devices for irrigation activation, and the frequency of usage increases with training in endodontic courses/seminars. Another study found that 87.86% of dentists do not use any instruments for irrigation activation during the procedure.<sup>26</sup> In our study, 74.25% of participants reported not using any activation technique during irrigation. Among those who do use an activation technique, 41.86% reported using PUI. There is no statistically significant relationship between titles and the use of activation techniques during irrigation. In a study conducted by Ekici et al.,<sup>41</sup> it was observed that none of the activation methods used completely removed the debris. We believe that debris that cannot be completely removed even with the activation methods used will create a serious failure rate in root canal treatment without activation.

Studies have shown that endodontic treatments are mostly completed in multiple sessions.<sup>28,30,31</sup> Another study indicated that endodontists predominantly finish the treatment in a single session.<sup>27</sup> In our study, 52.69% of participants reported completing root canal treatment in a single session, while 47.31% completed it in multiple sessions. Among those who completed the treatment in multiple sessions, 97.44% used CaOH as an intracanal medicament. There is a statistically significant relationship between titles and the number of sessions required to complete root canal treatment.

In a study by Gupta et al.,<sup>35</sup> 61% of practitioners preferred the cold lateral compaction technique, while Iqbal et al.<sup>42</sup> reported that 63.5% of practitioners favored the same method. In our study, 52.1% of participants stated that they use the lateral compaction filling technique. However, there was no statistically significant relationship between titles and the filling techniques used.

In a study by Kaptan et al.,<sup>43</sup> epoxy resin-based sealers were reported as the most preferred sealer, and composite restoration was identified as the most commonly used final restoration material after root canal treatment. In our study, 43.11% of participants reported using epoxy resin-based sealers, while 82.63% preferred composite filling restorations after endodontic treatment. However, there was no statistically significant relationship between titles and the type of sealer used, nor between titles and the preferred restorations after endodontic treatment.



## CONCLUSION

As a result of this study, it was seen that general dentists have sufficient knowledge about current root canal protocols and materials. It was also seen that endodontists have relatively more knowledge on this subject than general dentists. It is a very positive result that dentists are trying to improve their perspectives on root canal treatment with training and course requests.

## ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

### Ethics Committee Approval

The study was carried out with the permission of the Dicle University Faculty of Dentistry Ethics Committee (Date: 29.01.2020, Decision No: 2020-2).

### Informed Consent

All participants signed a free and informed consent form.

### Referee Evaluation Process

Externally peer-reviewed.

### Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

### Financial Disclosure

The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

### Author Contributions

All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper, and that they have approved the final version.

## REFERENCES

- Ørstavik D. Apical periodontitis: microbial infection and host responses. *Essential endodontology: prevention and treatment of apical periodontitis*. 2019;1-10. doi:10.1002/9781119272014.ch1
- Sjogren U, Hagglund B, Sundqvist G, Wing K. Factors affecting the long-term results of endodontic treatment. *J Endod*. 1990;16(10):498-504. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399(07)80180-4
- Elderton RJ. A modern approach to the use of rubber dam-1. *Dent Pract Dent Rec*. 1971;21(6):187-193.
- Silversin B, Shafer M, Sheiham A, Smales FC. The teaching and practice of some clinical aspects of endodontics in Great Britain. *J Dent*. 1975; 3(2):77-80. doi:10.1016/0300-5712(75)90004-4
- Whitworth JM, Seccombe GV, Shoker K, Steele JG. Use of rubber dam and irrigant selection in UK general dental practice. *Int Endod J*. 2000; 33(5):435-441. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2591.2000.00329.x
- Kamaraj PS, Parandhaman H, Raguganesh V. Comparison of five different methods of working length determination: an: ex vivo: study. *Endodontology*. 2020;32(4):187-192. doi:10.4103/endo.endo\_30\_20
- Schilder H. Filling root canals in three dimensions. *Dent Clin North Am*. 1967;723-744.
- Krishnan IS, Sreedharan S. A comparative evaluation of electronic and radiographic determination of root canal length in primary teeth: an in vitro study. *Contemp Clin Dent*. 2012;3(4):416-420. doi:10.4103/0976-237X.107430
- Erten H, Uçtasli MB, Akarslan ZZ, Uzun O, Semiz M. Restorative treatment decision making with unaided visual examination, intraoral camera and operating microscope. *Oper Dent*. 2006;31(1):55-59. doi:10.2341/04-173
- Perrin P, Neuhaus KW, Lussi A. The impact of loupes and microscopes on vision in endodontics. *Int Endod J*. 2014;47(5):425-429. doi:10.1111/iej.12165
- Ingle JI. A standardized endodontic technique utilizing newly designed instruments and filling materials. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1961; 14:83-91. doi:10.1016/0030-4220(61)90477-7
- Thompson SA, Dummer PM. Shaping ability of Lightspeed rotary nickel-titanium instruments in simulated root canals. Part 1. *J Endod*. 1997;23(11):698-702. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399(97)80405-0
- Bartols A. Clinical experiences with reciproc. *Endod Pract Today*. 2013; 7(3):179-187.
- Sundqvist G. Ecology of the root canal flora. *J Endod*. 1992;18(9):427-430. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399(06)80842-3
- Byström A, Sundqvist G. Bacteriologic evaluation of the efficacy of mechanical root canal instrumentation in endodontic therapy. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1981;89(4):321-328. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0722.1981.tb01689.x
- Kerekes K, Tronstad L. Long-term results of endodontic treatment performed with a standardized technique. *J Endod*. 1979;5(3):83-90. doi: 10.1016/S0099-2399(79)80154-5
- Weiger R, Rosendahl R, Löst C. Influence of calcium hydroxide intracanal dressings on the prognosis of teeth with endodontically induced periapical lesions. *Int Endod J*. 2000;33(3):219-226. doi:10.1046/j. 1365-2591.1999.00298.x
- Kim JW, Ha JH, Cheung GS, Versluis A, Kwak SW, Kim HC. Safety of the factory preset rotation angle of reciprocating instruments. *J Endod*. 2014;40(10):1671-1675. doi:10.1016/j.joen.2014.06.002
- Glossen CR, Haller RH, Dove SB, del Rio CE. A comparison of root canal preparations using Ni-Ti hand, Ni-Ti engine-driven, and K-Flex endodontic instruments. *J Endod*. 1995;21(3):146-151. doi:10.1016/ s0099-2399(06)80441-3
- Glossen CR, Haller RH, Dove SB, del Rio CE. A comparison of root canal preparations using Ni-Ti hand, Ni-Ti engine-driven, and K-Flex endodontic instruments. *J Endod*. 1995;21(3):146-151. doi:10.1016/ s0099-2399(06)80441-3
- Bergmans L, Van Cleynenbreugel J, Wevers M, Lambrechts P. Mechanical root canal preparation with NiTi rotary instruments: rationale, performance and safety. *Am J Dent*. 2001;14(5):324-333.
- Gomes BPFA, Aveiro E, Kishen A. Irrigants and irrigation activation systems in Endodontics. *Braz Dent J*. 2023;34(4):1-33. doi:10.1590/0103-6440202305577
- Jensen SA, Walker TL, Hutter JW, Nicoll BK. Comparison of the cleaning efficacy of passive sonic activation and passive ultrasonic activation after hand instrumentation in molar root canals. *J Endod*. 1999;25(11):735-738. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399(99)80120-4
- Villalta-Briones N, Baca P, Bravo M, et al. A laboratory study of root canal and isthmus disinfection in extracted teeth using various activation methods with a mixture of sodium hypochlorite and etidronic acid. *Int Endod J*. 2021;54(2):268-278. doi:10.1111/iej.13417
- Virdee SS, Farnell DJJ, Silva MA, Camilleri J, Cooper PR, Tomson PL. The influence of irrigant activation, concentration and contact time on sodium hypochlorite penetration into root dentine: an ex vivo experiment. *Int Endod J*. 2020;53(7):986-997. doi:10.1111/iej.13290
- Unal GC, Kaya BU, Tac AG, Keceli AD. Survey of attitudes, materials and methods preferred in root canal therapy by general dental practice in Turkey: part 1. *Eur J Dent*. 2012;6(4):376-384.
- Ferreira ACG, Frozoni M, Prado M, Gomes B, Signoretti F, De-Jesus-Soares A. Current trends in technological armamentarium and treatment among Brazilian endodontists. *Brazil J Oral Sci*. 2017;16:1-10. doi:10.20396/bjos.v16i0.8650494
- Dindar Ds, Akiş D. Evaluation of endodontic treatment approaches of dentists in Türkiye. *J Med Dent Invest*. 2023;4:e230324. doi:10.55771/jomdi.e230324
- Ünlü N, Şener S, Karabekiroğlu S. Genç yetişkinlerde birinci büyük ağız dişinde çürük görülme sıklığı ve ağız bakım faktörleri ile ilişkisi. *Selcuk Dent J*. 2014;1(1):14-19. doi:10.15311/1441.272642
- Bjørndal L, Reit C. The adoption of new endodontic technology amongst Danish general dental practitioners. *Int Endod J*. 2005;38(1):52-58. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2591.2004.00904.x
- Wong AW, Zhang S, Zhang CF, Chu CH. Perceptions of single-visit and multiple-visit endodontic treatment: a survey of endodontic specialists and general dentists in Hong Kong. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2016;7(3):263-271. doi:10.1111/jicd.12154
- Madarati AA. Why dentists don't use rubber dam during endodontics and how to promote its usage? *BMC Oral Health*. 2016;16:24. doi:10.1186/ s12903-016-0175-2
- Pratten DH, McDonald NJ. Comparison of radiographic and electronic working lengths. *J Endod*. 1996;22(4):173-176. doi:10.1016/S0099-2399 (96)80095-1
- Hoer D, Attin T. The accuracy of electronic working length determination. *Int Endod J*. 2004;37(2):125-131. doi:10.1111/j.0143-2885. 2004.00764.x



35. Iqbal A, Akbar I, Qureshi B, Sghaireen MG, Al-Omiri MK. A survey of standard protocols for endodontic treatment in North of KSA. *ISRN Dent*. 2014;2014:865780. doi:10.1155/2014/865780
36. Palmer NO, Ahmed M, Grieveson B. An investigation of current endodontic practice and training needs in primary care in the north west of England. *Br Dent J*. 2009;206(11):E22-585. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2009.473
37. Meel R, Raisingani D, Prasad A, Mathur R, Madan N, Somani N. Information regarding use of rotary nickel-titanium endodontic instruments among general dental practitioners: a questionnaire survey. *J Res Dent*. 2016;4(1):4-8.
38. Guelzow A, Stamm O, Martus P, Kielbassa AM. Comparative study of six rotary nickel-titanium systems and hand instrumentation for root canal preparation. *Int Endod J*. 2005;38(10):743-752. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2591.2005.01010.x
39. Eleazer PD, Gilbert GH, Funkhouser E, et al. Techniques and materials used by general dentists during endodontic treatment procedures: findings from the National Dental Practice-Based Research Network. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2016;147(1):19-27. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2015.05.021
40. Savani GM, Sabbah W, Sedgley CM, Whitten B. Current trends in endodontic treatment by general dental practitioners: report of a United States national survey. *J Endod*. 2014;40(5):618-624. doi:10.1016/j.joen.2014.01.029
41. Ekici MA, Kıvanç BH, Ekici A, Uzun Ö. Debris removal from artificial grooves using different endodontic irrigation activation techniques: ex vivo. *Acta Odontol Turcica*. 2017;34(1):14-18.
42. Gupta R, Rai R. The adoption of new endodontic technology by Indian dental practitioners: a questionnaire survey. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013;7(11):2610-2614. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2013/5817.3628
43. Kaptan RF, Haznedaroglu F, Kayahan MB, Basturk FB. An investigation of current endodontic practice in Turkey. *Scient World J*. 2012;2012:565413. doi:10.1100/2012/565413